

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/611,717	09/611,717 07/06/2000		Kimihiro Kikuchi	9281-3703	5991	
757	7590	05/29/2003				
BRINKS H	OFER GI	ILSON & LIONE	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 10 CHICAGO,				HECKENBERG JR, DONALD H		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1722	4.6	
				DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003	7 -	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				
	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/61	1,717	KIKUCHI ET AL	
Office Action Summar	y Exami	ner	Art Unit	
		Heckenberg	1722	
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than to the property of t	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n s communication. hirty (30) days, a reply within the num statutory period will apply a or reply will, by statute, cause the onths after the mailing date of thi	o event, however, may statutory minimum of nd will expire SIX (6) No examplication to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered tin SONTHS from the mailing date of thi BARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mely. is communication.
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on 19 March 2	<u>003</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This action			
Since this application is in conclosed in accordance with the Disposition of Claims	dition for allowance ex	cept for formal r	natters, prosecution as to C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-10</u> is/are po	ending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6</u> is/a				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7 and 10</u> is/are re	ected.			
7) Claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are objecte				
8) Claim(s) are subject to r	estriction and/or election	on requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is				
Applicant may not request that a				
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction			oved b) disapproved b	y the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings				
12) The oath or declaration is object		•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12			0.0440(a) (d) == (0	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a		y under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ Non				
1. Certified copies of the pr				
	•		n Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified controlapplication from the* See the attached detailed Office	International Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17.2(a	1)).	nal Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for domestic priori	ty under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	onal application).
 a) The translation of the foreing 15) Acknowledgment is made of a contract. 	gn language provisiona claim for domestic prior	al application haity under 35 U.S	s been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)		•		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reg Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO- 			riew Summary (PTO-413) Pape e of Informal Patent Application :	

Application/Control Number: 09/611,717 Page 2 Art Unit: 1722 1. This application contains claim 6 drawn to an invention nonelected with without traverse in Paper No. 5. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01. 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. 3. The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows: Determining the scope and contents of the prior art. 1. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and 2. the claims at issue. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent 3. 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 09/611,717 Page 3

Art Unit: 1722

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-3, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Pub. No. 06-67032 A (hereinafter :JP '032"; previously of record; reference below will be made to the figures of this document, as well as to the English machine translation cited in the previous Office Action) in view of Japanese Pub. No. 09-33731 (hereinafter "JP '731"; reference below will be made to the figures of this document, as well as to the English machine translation attached to the document).

JP '032 discloses a method for plastic optical end face treatment. The method comprises pressing an end face (Fa) of a

Application/Control Number: 09/611,717 Page 4

Art Unit: 1722

plastic optical fiber (F) end on a transfer face (33a) of a heated mold (33) so as to soften and fuse the core. JP '032 further discloses intermittently repeating pressing/separating the core end face and the transfer face of the mold which deforms the shape of the core end face gradually and transfer the face of the mold to the core end face (translation, p. 4, ¶ 23), and thereby form a lens face shape (translation p. 4, ¶ 26).

JP '032 does not disclose the method to comprise removing a cover of the plastic optical fiber end to expose the core end face. JP '032 also does not disclose chamfering a peripheral portion of clad of the core end face of the plastic optical fiber.

JP '731 discloses a process of optical fiber end face treatment. The process includes the step of removing a cover (1c) of the plastic optical fiber to expose a core end face (see figure 4C). JP '731 further discloses chamfering a peripheral portion of clad of the core end face by applying a grinding stone (8) to clad of the core end face (see figure 4A). JP '731 notes that the process allows for the formation of a bevel on the end of the fiber without damaging the fiber (see translation p. 1, ¶ 4).

Application/Control Number: 09/611,717 Page 5
Art Unit: 1722

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to have modified the process of JP '032 as such to include the steps of removing a cover from the core end face, and to chamfer a peripheral portion of the clad of the core end face by applying a grind stone to the clad because this would allow for the formation of a bevel on the optical without damaging the fiber as suggested by JP '731.

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed on March 19, 2003 have been fully considered, but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection presented above.
- 7. Claims 8-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not teach or suggest a plastic optical fiber end face treatment method comprising pressing a core end face of a plastic optical fiber end intermittently on a

Application/Control Number: 09/611,717 Page 6

Art Unit: 1722

mold heated to a certain temperature to soften and fuse the core end face and thereby transfer a transfer face of the mold on the core end face, removing a cover of the plastic optical fiber end to expose the core end face, and chamfering a peripheral portion of clad of the core end face of the plastic optical fiber end to remove a peripheral portion of clad of the core end face, wherein the chamfering comprises cutting or utilizes a cutter to remove the peripheral portion of clad of the core end face.

The closest prior art taught by the JP '032 and JP '731 is described above. Specifically, JP '032 and JP '731 teach the use of a grinding stone to remove the peripheral portion of clad of the core end face. However, neither JP '032 or JP '731, nor any of the other references of record teaches or suggests cutting the peripheral portion of clad of the core end face. "Cutting" has been interpreted, based on the disclosure of the instant application, as its ordinary meaning of utilizing a object with a fine edge to severe the surface to be cut.

9. The following references are cited, but not relied upon, as being pertinent to the instant application:

Japanese Pub. No. 11-223732 discloses a process utilizing a grinding stone to chamfer a plastic optical fiber.

Application/Control Number: 09/611,717

Art Unit: 1722

fiber.

WO 95/07795 discloses a method of forming a bevel on a optical

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/611,717 Page 8
Art Unit: 1722

Heckenberg whose telephone number is (703) 308-6371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker, can be reached at (703) 308-0457. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 for responses to non-final action, and 703-872-9311 for responses to final actions. The unofficial fax phone number is (703) 305-3602.

Donald Heckenberg

May 22, 2003

JAMES P. MACKEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

5/23/03